	Case 3.14-cv-00204-3GB-SF Document 1	FILED FILED	
1	LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIED? Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com Nicholas J. Bontrager (SBN 252114) nbontrager@attorneysforconsumers.com Suren N. Weerasuriya (SBN 278521) sweerasuriya@attorneysforconsumers.com 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Phone: 877-206-4741 Fax: 866-633-0228  KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203)	MAN, P.C.  2014 FEB 13 PM 1: 57  CLERK U.S. DESTRICT COURT BENTRAL DIST. OF CAUTE. RIVERSIDE  BY:=	
11 12 13 14	Abbas Kazerouman, Esq. (SBN. 249203) ak@kazlg.com 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 [Other Plaintiff's Counsel on Signature		
15 16	UNITED STATES I FOR THE CENTRAL DIS	DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	.05
18 19 20 21 22	Carrie Couser, on behalf of herself all) others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  AMC Entertainment Inc., and DOES	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES  JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	SP
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them  Defendant(s).		
28			

Class Action Complaint - 1

- 1. Carrier Couser ("Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of AMC Entertainment Inc ("Defendant") in negligently and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.
- 2. The TCPA was designed to prevent calls and text messages like the ones described herein, and to protect the privacy of citizens like Plaintiff. "Voluminous consumer complaints about abuses of telephone technology for example, computerized calls dispatched to private homes prompted Congress to pass the TCPA." Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 744 (2012).
- 3. In enacting the TCPA, Congress intended to give consumers a choice as to how corporate similar entities may contact them, and made specific findings that "[t]echnologies that might allow consumers to avoid receiving such calls are not universally available, are costly, are unlikely to be enforced, or place an inordinate burden on the consumer. TCPA, Pub.L. No. 102–243, § 11. In support

Н

of this, Congress found that:

[b]anning such automated or prerecorded telephone calls to the home, except when the receiving party consents to receiving the call or when such calls are necessary in an emergency situation affecting the health and safety of the consumer, is the only effective means of protecting telephone consumers from this nuisance and privacy invasion.

Id. at § 12; see also Martin v. Leading Edge Recovery Solutions, LLC, 2012 WL 3292838, at\* 4 (N.D.Ill. Aug. 10, 2012) (citing Congressional findings on TCPA's purpose).

- 4. Congress also specifically found that "the evidence presented to the Congress indicates that automated or prerecorded calls are a nuisance and an invasion of privacy, regardless of the type of call...." Id. at §§ 12-13. See also, Mims, 132 S. Ct. at 744.
- 5. As Judge Easterbrook of the Seventh Circuit recently explained in a TCPA case regarding calls to a non-debtor:

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act ... is well known for its provisions limiting junk-fax transmissions. A less-litigated part of the Act curtails the use of automated dialers and prerecorded messages to cell phones, whose subscribers often are billed by the minute as soon as the call is answered—and routing a call to voicemail counts as answering the call. An automated call to a landline phone can be an annoyance; an automated call to a cell phone adds expense to annoyance.

Soppet v. Enhanced Recovery Co., LLC, 679 F.3d 637, 638 (7th Cir. 2012).

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of violations of federal law. 47 U.S.C. §227(b); Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).
- 7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Central District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this district; Plaintiff resides in Riverside, California, which falls within the Central District of California.

### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose State of Incorporation and principal place of business is in the State of California. Defendant, is and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and is a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10). Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of Riverside, within this judicial district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. On or about January 29, 2013, Defendant sent the following consecutive, and seemingly related text messages to Plaintiff's cellular phone ending in #4164:

AMC Best Picture Showcase is back 2/22 & 3/1! See All 9 nominees in time for the Oscars & earn up

To \$10 AMC Stubs Bonus Bucks. Get tix: http://bit.ly/1jEa Spo

- 11. The above text-messages were sent to Plaintiff's cellular phone between approximately 12:36 p.m. and 12:39 p.m.
- 12. In response to the above text-messages, Plaintiff text "stop," to which she received the following consecutive text messages in response, from Defendant:

AMC: This confirms that you've unsubscribed & will no longer

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

7

8

6

9 10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

2425

26

27 28

///

///

receive texts from AMC Theatres Mobile. Info? Call 888-562-4262 or customerservice@

### amestubs.com

- 13. The texts Defendant sent to Plaintiff's cellular phone were sent via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1), and as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 14. The telephone number that Defendant or its agents sent text messages to was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls and texts pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- 15. These text messages were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 16. Plaintiff never provided Defendant with her cellular phone number, in writing or otherwise. Thus, at no time did Plaintiff provide Defendant or its agents with prior express consent to send text message to her cellular phone, from an ATDS, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 17. These text messages by Defendant, or its agents, violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b).

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 18. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated ("the Class").
  - 19. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class, consisting of:

All persons within the United States who received any text messages from Defendant, or its agent(s) and/or employee(s), to said person's cellular telephone, through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system, within the four years prior to the filling of the Complaint.

- 20. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 21. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 22. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request

III

any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.

- 23. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 24. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
  - a) Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint,

    Defendant or its agents sent text messages to the Class (other than a
    text sent for emergency purposes or made with the prior express
    consent of the called who received the text) or to a Class member
    using any automatic dialing system, to any telephone number
    assigned to a cellular phone service;
  - b) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and

5 6

8

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

> 23 24

25

27

26 28

- Whether Defendant and its agents should be enjoined from engaging c) in such conduct in the future.
- As a person that received texts from Defendant via an automated 25. telephone dialing system without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable 26. harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action 27. claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient 28. adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and state law. The interest of Class members in

28 | ///

individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

29. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and The Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 35. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 36. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous 37. and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 38. U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and The Class are entitled to an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 39. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class members the following relief against Defendant:

III26

 $/\!/\!/$ 27

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiffs seeks for themselves and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiffs seek for themselves and each Class member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

### TRIAL BY JURY

46. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and hereby does demand, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this February 11, 2014

By:

Todd M. Friedman LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff

### **HYDE & SWIGART**

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

2221 Camino Del Rio S #101, San Diego, CA 92108

Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been assigned		Jesus G. Ber	nal and the assigned					
Magistrate Judge is	Sheri Pym	•						
The case number o	th the Court shou	ld read as follows:						
<del></del>	5:14-CV-00284	-JGB-SPx						
Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.								
All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge.								
Clerk, U. S. District Court  February 13, 2014  By A. COBIAN								
								Date
	NOTICE TO C	OUNSEL						
A copy of this notice must be served filed, a copy of this notice must be s		complaint on all de	fendants (if a removal action is					
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:								
☐ Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth S Santa Ana, CA 927		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, CA 92501					
Failure to file at the proper locati	on will result in your d	ocuments being r	eturned to you.					

## Case 5:14-cv-00284-1GB-SP Document 1 Filed 02/13/14 Page 15 of 17 Page ID #:27 CIVIL COVER SHEET

Carle Couser, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated   AMC Entertainment Inc., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them	DEF 4 5 G
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Riverside  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (C) ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.  LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. PRIEDMAN, P.C.  369 S. Doheny Dr., #415  Beverly Hills, CA 90211  Phone: 877-206-4741  II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)  [1] 1. U.S. Government Government Not a Party)  [2] 2. U.S. Government Of Parties in Item III)  [3] 3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  [4] 4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)  [5] 1. Official Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Reopened State State Proceeding and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless divers 1375 False Claims Act 110 insurance 240 Tors to Land 462 Naturalization Habeas Corpus: 820 Copyrights	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.  LAW OFFICES OF TODD M, FRIEDMAN, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415  Beverly Hills, CA 90211  Phones 877-206-4741  II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)    1. U.S. Government   3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)    2. U.S. Government   4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship Defendant)   5. Transferred from Another State of Business in Another State of Business in Another State   6. Multipation   6. Multipation   6. Multipation   7. Transferred from Another	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.  LAW OFFICES OF TODO M. RRIEDMAN, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415  Beverly Hills, CA 90211  Phone: 877-206-4741  II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)    1. U.S. Government   3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)    2. U.S. Government   4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)    V. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)    1. U.S. Government   4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)    1. Original   2. Removed from   3. Remanded from Appellate Court   4. Reinstated or Reopened   5. Transferred from Another Litigation   5. Transferred from Another State   5. Transferred from Another Litigation   5. Transferred from Another Lit	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
representing yourself, provide the same information.  LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415  Beverly Hills, CA 90211  Fhone: 877-206-4741  III. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)    1. U.S. Government   3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)   2. U.S. Government   4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)   5. Citizen of Another State   2   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
1. U.S. Government	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
1. U.S. Government	☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6
2. U.S. Government Defendant	
1. Original Proceeding   2. Removed from State Court   3. Remanded from Appellate Court   4. Reinstated or Reopened   5. Transferred from Another District (Specify)   5. Transferred from Another District (Specify)   6. Multi-District (Specify)	sity.)
CLASS ACTION under F.R.Cv.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$  VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filling and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversed to the U.S.C. Section 227- Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act  VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).  OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT.  375 False Claims Act 10 Inourance 240 Torts to Land Application Application Application Babeas Corpus: 820 Copyrights	ity.)
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filling and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversed to the U.S.C. Section 227- Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act  VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).  OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT.  375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance 240 Torts to Land Application Application Application Application Habeas Corpus: 820 Copyrights	ity.)
47 U.S.C. Section 227- Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act  VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).  OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT.  375 False Claims Act 10 110 Insurance 240 Torts to Land Application Application Application Application	iity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).  OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT.  375 False Claims Act 10 110 Insurance 240 Torts to Land Application Application Application	
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT.  375 False Claims Act 10 Insurance 240 Torts to Land Application Application Application	
375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance 240 Torts to Land 462 Naturalization Application Application Application B20 Copyrights	
Application Application Description	
Application	
400 State 120 Marine 245 Tort Product 463 Alien Detainee 830 Patent	
130 Miller Act 290 All Other Real Immigration Actions Sentence 840 Trademark	
430 Banks and Banking	
450 Commerce/ICC 150 Recovery of PERSONAL INDURY 370 Other Fraud Other: 867 Black Lyng (923)	
Adequation   Overpayment &   310 Airplane   311 Truth in Lending   540 Mandamus/Other   863 DIWC/DIWW (405)	all
Judgment Judgment Product Liability 380 Other Personal 550 Civil Rights 864 SSID Title XVI	511
enced & Corrupt Org. 151 Medicare Act 320 Assault, Libel & Property Damage 555 Prison Condition 865 RSI (405 (a))	
480 Consumer Credit 152 Recovery of 330 Fed. Employers' Product Liability 560 Civil Detainee FEDERAL TAX SUII	<b>5</b>
490 Cable/Sat IV Loan (Excl. Vet.)  BANKRUPTCY Confinement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plainti	
modifies/Exchange 153 Recovery of 345 Marine Product 156 Recovery of 153 Recovery of 153 Recovery of 155 Recov	USC
890 Other Statutory Vet. Benefits 423 Withdrawal 28 Seizure of Property 21 7609	334
891 Agricultural Acts Suits South Stockholders	
893 Environmental 190 Other 360 Other Personal 440 Other Civil Rights LABOR 1904 And 190 Other	
Notices Contract Injury 441 Voting 710 Fair Labor Standards	
Act Product Liability	
996 Arbitration   196 Franchise   305 Personal injury   Accommodations   740 Railway Labor Act	
899 Admin. Procedures 367 Health Care/ 445 American with 751 Family and Medical	
Agency Decision Condemnation Personal Injury Employment Leave Act	
220 Foreclosure Product Liability  950 Constitutionality of 230 Root Losso & 368 Asbestos  790 Other Labor Litigation Litigation  770 Foreclosure Product Liability	
State Statutes    230 Rent Lease &   Personal Injury   448 Education   791 Employee Ret. Inc.	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: ED (V 14 - () 0254: JGB	ソソ

CV-71 (11/13)

## Case 5:14-cv-00284-JGB-SP Document 1 Filed 02/13/14 Page 16 of 17 Page ID #:28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed fr state court?	rom	- STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF: INITIAL DIVISION IN CACO IS:															
☐ Yes 😠 No		Los Angeles						Western									
if "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check	the 🗀	☐ Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo					-	Western									
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	[	☐ Orange				Southern											
Question D, below, and skip to Section D	x.	Riverside or San Bernardino				Eastern											
Question B: Is the United States, or or its agencies or employees, a party to t action?  Yes No  If "no," go to Question C. If "yes," check box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to Question D, below, and skip to Section I?	the	f If the United States, or one of its ag  A PLAINTIFF?  Then check the box below for the county in which the majority of DEFENDANTS reside.  Los Angeles  Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo  Orange		A DEFENDANT?  A DEFENDANT?  Then check the box below for the county in which the majority of PLAINTIFFS reside,  Los Angeles  Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo  Orange		INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:  Western Western Southern											
		_	r San Bernardino		Riverside or San Bernardino			Eastern									
		Other			Other			Western									
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection per row)	A. os Ange County		B. , Santa Barbara, or s Obispo Counties	C, Orange (	County	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Countles		E. le the Central t of California	F. Other								
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:					]	X											
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:								$\boxtimes$									
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:																	
C.1. Is either of the following true? If	so, chec	ck the one tha	at applies:	C.2. Is	either of	f the following true? If s	o, check the	one that applies;									
2 or more answers in Column C  only 1 answer in Column C and no answers in Column D  Your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below.				2 or more answers in Column D only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C  Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.													
									If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.				If none applies, go to the box below.				
												Your case will i WES Enter "Western" In I	TERN DIVIS	ION.			
Question D: Initial Division?			•			INITIAL DIV	ISION IN CAC										
Enter the Initial division determined by Question A, B, or C above:				EASTERN DIVISION													

## Case 5:14-cv-00284-JGB-SP Document 1 Filed 02/13/14 Page 17 of 17 Page ID #:29 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

X(a). IDENTICAL CA	SES: Has this ac	cion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	⊠ NO	YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s):			
X(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any case	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	X NO	YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s);			
Civil cases are deemed	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Check all boxes that app	oly) 🔲 A. Arise i	from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		
	B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	or	
	C. For ot	her reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or		
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pre	sent.
. SIGNATURE OF AT				
OR SELF-REPRESENT	red Litigant)	: DATE:	February 11	, 2014
ther papers as required by	rlaw. This form, ap	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplemen proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required propose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instruc	nursuant to Local.	Rule 3-1 is not filed
ey to Statistical codes rela	ting to Social Secur	ity Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	Security Act, as a of services unde	mended, Also, r the program.
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Hea 923)	lth and Safety Ac	t of 1969. (30 U.S.C.
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of th all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability, (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	e Social Security I	Act, as amended; plus

All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C., 405 (g))

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as

CV-71 (11/13)

863

864

865

DIWW

SSID

RSI

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 3 of 3